Previous references.

Cert. 60-05

Later references.

1906-7

Gl. Finds

File No. 186 of 06

Serial Nos. 1 to 5 & 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B. for April

SUBJECT.

Allotment under 'Palace Military' in the Budget 05-06

From Palace Officer 31 of 30 & 06

States that under the head 'Palace Military' the expenditure during 1905-6 has not exceeded the Budget allotment of Rs. 10,000 but that however the Comptr may be instructed to give credit to the palace for the whole of that sum.

To Comptr 3616 dt 15-11-06

Forwards copy of 31 and requests adjustments may be made.

FILE HEADING.

Palace Military in the last year Budget

The Officer in charge of Palace Est

Dated 30.8.06 Received 1-9-06.

Enclos. Spare Copies.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that under the head "Palace Military" the Expenditure during the official year 1905-6 has exceeded the Budget allotment of Rs: 1,10,000/- but that, however, the Comptroller may be instructed to afford credit to the Palace for the [illegible]

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Ked to orders. This seems opposed to
K.S. Civil account Code art 154 (
6.9.06. Is this Charge met in the first
instance from the Palace & credit afforded
the latter after the close of the year? The expenditure

File No. 186 of 1906

is provided for in the State Budget and it
not clear why this not adjusted in the
Cost accounts as this incurred during
the Year - Please submit one or two
previous references of this kind & the
Authority for the present procedure.

mwh
19-9-6

From Enquiry made in the Comptroller's office it
ascertained that the charge is met in the first instance
from the Palace and credit afforded to the latter after the close
the year and that this has been a long practice. Last
year there was an Excep. Expenditure under this head
and it was Sanctioned. The file is not available

20/10/06.

22/10. This may be referred to the Comptr
for opinion unofficially.

Sub. to the Secretary 28/10.

Please send a Copy of the Palace Officer's
letter to the Comptr and ask him
to have the needful adjustments made. Send a Copy
of the letter of the Comptr & the
Palace Officer for information.

mwh
2.11.11

Submitted with a draft

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

G.R.S. 12J
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen Fin File No. 186 of 1906

Serial No.	
2	To the Comptroller no: H 3616 Dy 15·11·06 Forwards Copy of Serial 1 above. ~~no: H 3616 Dy~~ and requests to have the needful adjustment made To the Officer in Ch: Palace Estt no: H 3617 Dy 15·11·06 Forwards Copy of the above for information From the Comptroller no: 2888/a.c. 501 Dy 21·11·06 observes that the provision made in the budget estimates of 1905-06 on account of "Palace Military" is only Rs 1,02,500/- and not Rs 1,10,000, the difference of Rs 7500 hitherto made under this head to meet pensions having been transferred to its proper head Superannuation pensions under 25 Military, encloses Copy of his docket Dy 7·11·06 to the Palace officer informing him that the expenditure has exceeded the grant by Rs 3826/- & requests Early Sanction of Govt for the excess expenditure incurred to enable his office to adjust the Amount in the accounts of the Yr 05/06

Finance File No. 186 of 1906

Serial No.

Subd for orders with a draft sanctioning the excess expenditure.

~~Whereas~~ In the matter of the regulation and control of expenditure on the Palace Military, the Cost of which is being met from State revenues, His Highness the Maharaja in his order dated 22.11.06 has been pleased to direct that a Sum of Rs 1,00,000/- be provided for every year in the State Budget estimates as a Consolidated Contract grant for the upkeep of the Palace Military. This file is separately subd with a draft.

Ehvs 27.11.06

The Palace Officer was apparently unaware of having received the budget grant when he wrote his letter dd 30-8-06. Now that he has been informed of the Complete, his application requesting Sanction to the extension has to be awaited. At early opportunity necessary we may invite his attention to the Complete Comm to him & request him to expedite his application for admission

Revenue iat. | Elec Fin File No. 186 of 1906

Serial No.

the excess over the grant —

Draft submitted
27.11.06

27.11.06

Issue 28.11.06

To the Officer in charge of the Palace Estt
No: H 4246 Dy 3.12.06

Invites attention to Comptroller docket No: 1653 Dy 7.11.06 pointing out that the expenditure during 1905-06 under Palace Military has exceeded the budget grant by Rs 3826 and asking to obtain the Sanction of Govt for the excess expenditure at a very early date and requests to expedite his application for the Sanction of Govt for admission of the excess over the grant.

Subt

No application has been received from the Officer in charge of the Palace Estt
A draft reminder is put up.

Please wait for a fortnight.

21.12.06

28/12/6

Serial No. 5

From the Palace officer, No: 87 & 18.12.06.

States that the excess expenditure referred to being due to expenses specially ordered, it will be borne by the Palace; but points out that the Comptr: has deducted Rs: 7500/ on account of Palace Military Pensions from the total allotment of Rs 110,000 & afforded credit for only 1,02,500/=, and that, under the Palace Military Pensions the actual charges being only Rs: 7223-2-11, the balance of Rs: 276-13-1 may be resigned in favour of the Palace.

The Comptroller's action is correct & the position taken by the Palace officer does not seem to be maintainable. The arrangement in 1905-6 was this. originally a Sum of Rs: 110,0 was provided under Palace Military. Subsequently the provision of Rs: 7500 included therein on acco of Palace Military Pensions was transferred to the Military Budget vide G.O: 516-8 & 17.7.05. out of this 7500, a Sum of Rs 276-13-1 remains unexpended & the Palace officer requests this to be credited to the Palace so as to give to it the full budget allotment of Rs 110000 originally made for the Palace Military.

Supposing the expenditure under the Palace Military (pension) had exceeded this Sum of Rs: 7500/=, could the Palace officer have met it

Serial No.

out of the Palace Funds or out of the remainder of Rs: 1,02,500 availa under the Palace Military? Under orders of the 17th July 1905, the Sep = rate under the Palace Military Pro was kept quite distinct from the Curr Expenses under "Palace Military". Sub = quent to the passing of that order, Sum of Rs: 1,02,500 only was availabl for the purposes of the current Expenses the Palace Military. If so, the unexpe portion of the Provision made for the Palace Milit in the Military Budget under the orders the 17th July 1905 can not be Surrende in favour of the Palace.

In future years, however, a Consolidated ~~Contract~~ grant ~~of one lakh~~ ~~of the Palace Military~~ is made for the upkeep of the Palace Military, any Expenditure over that amount will b met out of the ~~Palace~~ Funds & any p of it that remains unexpended will ~~the Palace Chest.~~ merge in the Palace a

Submitted for orders.

K.S.

29/12/05

31/12

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No.

In his letter of the 31st August the Officer in charge of Palace Establishments requested credit for the full budget provision of 110,000 made in the State budget of 05-06 for Palace Military charges incurred by the Palace in the first instance, and thereafter to ascertain that the grant had not been exceeded.

It having been found that there was actually an excess of expenditure over the provision under 'Palace Military', (excluding Military Pensions), viz. 102500 he was asked to obtain the sanction of Govt. for the excess of Rs 3826/-

In his letter under Disposal the Officer while stating that the excess was due to expenses specially ordered and will be borne by the Palace asks, that the unspent amount of Rs 276-13-1 out of the budget provision of Rs 7500 for Palace Military Pensions, may be surrendered in favour of the Palace.

Upto this time the provision of 110,000 made every year in the State budget for Palace Military charges, debitable under the order of 91 to State funds, could not be contracted as a Cached grant and was therefore dealt with in the ordinary way, so that if the actual expenditure exceed the budget provision the excesses were being duly sanctioned

x. 7500 for Palace Military Pensions merged in the General provision under Military Pensions - Vide order of 17th July 05.

G.R.S. 12J
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 186 of 1906

Serial No.

On the same principle if the expenses were less than the budget provision no diminution of the unspent fund in favour of the Palace could, I think, be claimed.

The order of 17th July 05 appears to support this view of the case, for the charges on account of Military Pensions being a State charge could, it was held, be met out of the general provision in the Military budget under Superannuation, which was accordingly strengthened, and the separate provision that was being made was discontinued.

Submitted to the Secretary for orders.

K.
6. I. 07.

No 76 1208 17-1-06
Recd 7-1-07
Encs as per list

To the Comptroller for opinion —

Mr Lyons' reply dated 16.1.07

Hon'ble No. 164 dated 31-1-07.

PTROLLER'S OFFICE
1 1907
MYSORE

File the Contract Grant comes into force, the credit to the Palace on account of the Palace Military Expenditure under the ordinary rules, can be only for the amount actually Spent. The adjustment has hitherto been on the basis of actual expenditure and the claim for Savings in the budgeted Grant

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 186 of 1906

Serial No.

is not tenable.

Sd
Asst Comptroller
In charge of the
Comptroller's Office
31-1-7

The Palace Officer will, if approved be informed accordingly - Sd/ 1.2.07

Sd
Secretary
1/2/07

Submitted with a draft
K.S.
29.3.07.

Issue
1/2
30.3.07

To. The Officer in ch: of Palace Estabts
" Comptroller
No. JE.)556-)05-4-07

Informs the Palace officer that until the contract grant comes into force the credit to the Palace account of the Palace military expenditure can be only for the and actually spent that the claim for saving in the budgetted grant is not tenable

D983 Gen 7m.

The Palace Office,

Mysore. 30th August 1906.
3/S/

186-06
8(1)

From,

B. Ramakrishna Rao, Esq.,

Officer in charge of the

Palace Establishments.

To,

K. S. Chendrashekhara Iyer, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Genl & Rev. Departments,

B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that under the head " Palace Military " the expenditure during the Official year 1905-6 has not exceeded the Budget allotment of ₹1,10,000/-. I accordingly request the favor of your having the needful done to instruct the Government Comptroller to afford credit to the Palace for the aforesaid sum of ₹1,10,000/-.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramakrishna Rao
Officer in charge of the
Palace Establishments.

30/8/06

No 76 3616

15 Nov

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Gl. Fin)

, the 15 Nov 1906

Date of issue —do—

Signed by W Hewitt Kenrick Lt

To

The Comptroller to the

Govt. of H.H. the Nizam

I am directed to forward here-
with copy of letter No. 31 of the 30th Augt
1906 from the Officer in charge of the Pa
Establishments informing that under
the head "Palace Military" the Expen
during the official year 1905-06 has
not exceeded the Budget allotment of Rs
1,10,000 and requesting instructions be
opened to afford credit to the Palace for th
said sum and to request that you

be sent to have the usual adjustment made.

Yours truly

[illegible]
Secretary

Please bring the above to the attention of the Palace Establishments for information

No 713617
15 Nov 3

B.J.

[illegible]
Secretary

D 2029

No. 2888 E1. 501 3 Gen Fin 186/06

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT,

Dated Bangalore, the 28th November *1906*.

FROM

RAI N. G. BASU BAHADUR, M.A.,

COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

To

K.S.Chendrasekhara Iyer, Esq., B.A.B.L.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue DEPARTMENTS

SIR,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.Fl:3616/Gl:Fin:86-06-2 dated the 15th November 1906 forwarding copy of a letter from the Officer in charge of the Palace Establishments, Mysore in which he states that the expenditure under the head "Palace Military" has not exceeded the budget allotment of Rs.1,10,000/-.

It will be observed from the Mysore Civil budget estimates of 1905-06 that the provision made therein on account of the charge in question is only Rs.1,02,500/- and not Rs.1,10,000/-, the difference of Rs.7,500/- hitherto made under this head to meet pensions having been transferred to its proper head superannuation pensions under 25J Military.

I enclose copy of this office docket No.1653/AC281 dated 7-11-'06 to the Palace officer informing him that the expenditure has exceeded the grant by Rs.3826/- I request therefore that you will be so good as to obtain early sanction of Government for the excess expenditure incurred to enable this office to adjust the amount in the accounts of the year 1905-06.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

L G Basu

Docket No. 1653 / AC. 281 dated the 7th Nov. 1906 from the Comptroller, Mysore Govt. to the Officer in Charge of the Palace Establishments, Mysore

---

Has the honour to observe that the total charges on account of Palace Military for the year 1905-06 are Rs. 113549-1-3; of which Rs. 7223-2-11 are on account of pensions which have been separately provided for in the Military Budget as per Government Proceedings No. FC. 516-8 / FC. FC. 28-05-2 dated the 17th July 1905 - deducting the amount debitable to Military pensions the amount of Palace Military Charges for the year comes to Rs. 106325-14-6 which exceeds the grant for the year by Rs. 3825-14-6. He is requested to obtain the sanction of Government for the Excess Expenditure at a very early date.

"Copy"

J.C. Nichol.
Superintendent
Record Dept.

File No. 186/06

Serial No. 4

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 3rd Dec 1906

Date of issue do

Signed by W. McKenzie

No JC 4246

& 3-12-06

To The Officer in Charg
of the Palace Esta

Sir,

I am directed to
invite your attention t
Comptroller's docket No
1653/AC 281 dated 7-1
to you pointing out tha
the expenditure during 05-06 under
Palace Military has
exceeded the budget
grant by Rs 3826 an
~~requesting~~ alleging you to obta
the sanction of Govt
for the excess expenditu
at a very early date
and to request that
you may be so good

As to enclose your
application for
admission of Mr
Evans and Mr Gra

Yours
truly
[illegible]

D 2584

The Palace Office,

Mysore. 18th December 1906.
20.

From,

B. Ramakrishna Rao, Esq.,
Officer in charge of the Palace Estts.

K. S. Chendrashekhara Aiyer, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Revenue Deptts.,
B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

In reply to your No: Fl. 4246 / Gl.Fin.186-06-4 dated 3rd December 1906, I have the honor to state that the excess expenditure there referred to being due to expenses specially ordered, it will be bor by the Palace. The full provision of ₹1,10,000/- only may therefor be ordered to be credited to the Palace.

2. In this connection I have to point out that the Governmen Comptroller has deducted ₹7,500/-, being the amount provided for M litary pensions from the total allotment of ₹1,10,000/- and afford credit for ₹1,02,500/- only. Under Military Pensions he has credi ₹7,223-2-11 only, the actual charges or ₹276-13-1 less than the allotted amount.

3. I therefore request that you will be so good as to instruc the Comptroller to give credit to us for this deficit of ₹276-13-1 a

File No. 1886

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Gl. Sec)
the 190 .

Date of issue 5th April 07

Signed by _____

No. Gl: 7556-7
Gl: Sec 188-06-6

To The Officer in charge of the Palace P&Hs.

Sir,

With refee to the Corr^e ending with your letter, no: 87 of the 18th December 1906, stating that out of the provision of Rs 7500 made in the Military Budget for 1905-6, on account of the Palace Military Pensions, a Sum of ~~only~~ Rs: 7223-2-11 was ~~awarded~~ ultimately and that the balance of Rs: 276-13-1 may be ~~made good~~ wanted to the Palace, I am directed to inform you that till the Contract grant comes into

of see, the credit to the Palace on account of the Palace military expenditure can under the ordinary rules be only for the amount actually spent. ~~and that~~ As the adjustment has hitherto been on the basis of actual expenditure the claim for savings in the budgeted grant is not tenable.

I have &c.

for Secy

To Comptroller.

KS.
29.3.07.